

cm²/V.sec, we obtain an electron concentration of 10¹⁶ to 10²⁰. For such carrier concentrations we ought easily to have been able to determine the Hall constant, since for magnetic fields of H = 22,000 Oe and a current of 1 A in a sample 0.1 cm thick the Hall emf E = 1.4.10⁻² V, since for.....the Hall constant R = 6.3 cm³/C. We could easily have measured a value of E = 1.4.10⁻² V, since our apparatus had a sensitivity of 2.10⁻⁸ V.

Fig. 1.

Fig. 2.

Key

1) Oe

3. Effect of a Magnetic Field on the Electrical Resistance

of Chromium Sulfides. Measurements of this effect showed that, ~~.....~~ for compositions of ^{50 to 56}...at.% S_x ^{, ΔR/R} had an extremely small value, beyond the sensitivity of our apparatus. ^{Exceptions were} ~~an exception was~~ the chromium sulfides with a sulfur excess (58 to 59 at.%), for which we were able to measure the change of resistance in a magnetic field ; however,had a negative sign, i.e., it behaved anomalously (see Fig. 2).

The only previous example of a fall in resistance in a magnetic field was tellurium (a semimetal), as indicated by R. A. Chentsov /3/.

The immeasurably small values of the Hall effect in chromium sulfides with sulfur contents of.....at.% and also the absence of any influence of magnetic field on the electrical resistance of